AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows.

1-15.   (Cancelled).

16.   (Currently Amended) An automated <u>computer implemented</u> method for ~~interpreting~~ <u>identifying applicable provisions in</u> codes, said method <u>of identifying</u> comprising:

<u>selecting a rules system by a computer such that codes are represented in a form corresponding to a particular type of analysis to be performed on said codes, wherein said rules system includes one of fuzzy rules, if-then-else-rules and declarative rules, and wherein said codes comprise natural language text of one of laws, policy statement, contract provisions, agreements, regulations, rules of association, constitutions, and codes of conduct;</u>

mapping said codes to target rules <u>representing said codes based on said selected rules system by said computer, by one of:</u>

<u>transforming a logical structure of said codes to target rules using grammar and syntax of said selected rules system; and</u>

<u>populating a template of generated target rules with data from said codes based on said selected rules system;</u>

~~wherein said codes comprise~~ <u>natural language text of</u> ~~any~~ <u>one</u> ~~of laws, policy statements, contract provisions, agreements, regulations, rules of association, constitutions, and codes of conduct, and wherein a target rule technology is selected of a representation of said codes using a rule system, said rule system including one of fuzzy rules, if-the-else-rules, and declarative rules;~~

applying evaluation functions to said target rules ~~that represent said codes~~ <u>by said</u>

<u>computer</u>, to identify applicable provisions of said codes[[;]]<u>,</u> wherein a first evaluation function

comprises one user's perspective of said codes[[;]]<u>,</u> and wherein a second evaluation function

comprises a triggering event relating to said codes;

identifying applicable provisions of said codes based on said first and second evaluations

<u>by said computer</u>, wherein said applicable provisions of said codes match said <u>first and second</u>

evaluation functions as applied to said target rules; and

~~providing for said one user's use~~ <u>outputting</u> said applicable provisions of said codes <u>by</u>

<u>said computer</u>.

17.　　(Previously Presented) The method according to claim 16, wherein said applicable

provisions of said codes comprise classification codes used for classifying said provisions

provided in said document.

18-29.　(Cancelled).

30.　　(Currently Amended) A program storage ~~device~~ <u>medium</u> readable by <u>a</u> machine,

tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by said machine to perform an

automated method for ~~interpreting~~ <u>identifying applicable provisions in</u> codes, said method

comprising:

<u>selecting a rules system by a computer such that codes are represented in a form</u>

<u>corresponding to a particular type of analysis to be performed on said codes, wherein said rules</u>

<u>system includes one of fuzzy rules, if-then-else-rules and declarative rules, and wherein said</u>

codes comprise natural language text of one of laws, policy statement, contract provisions,

agreements, regulations, rules of association, constitutions, and codes of conduct;

mapping said codes to target rules representing said codes based on said selected rules

system by said computer, by one of:

transforming a logical structure of said codes to target rules using grammar and

syntax of said selected rules system; and

populating a template of generated target rules with data from said codes based on

said selected rules system;

~~wherein said codes comprise any of laws, policy statements, contract provisions,~~

~~agreements, regulations, rules of association, constitutions, and codes of conduct, and wherein a~~

~~target rule technology is selected of a representation of said codes using a rule system, said rule~~

~~system including one of fuzzy rules, if-the-else rules, and declarative rules;~~

applying evaluation functions to said target rules ~~that represent said codes~~ by said

computer, to identify applicable provisions of said codes[[;]], wherein a first evaluation function

comprises one user's perspective of said codes[[;]], and wherein a second evaluation function

comprises a triggering event relating to said codes;

identifying applicable provisions of said codes based on said first and second evaluations,

wherein said applicable provisions of said codes match said first and second evaluation functions

as applied to said target rules; and

~~providing for said one user's use~~ outputting said applicable provisions of said codes by

said computer.

31.   (Currently Amended) The program storage ~~device~~ medium according to claim 30,

wherein said applicable provisions of codes comprise classification codes used for classifying

said provisions provided in said document.

    32-41. (Canceled).